arXiv:1406.1985v1 [hep-ph] 8 Jun 2014

KEK-TH-1742

Unify Residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ Symmetries and Quark-Lepton Complementarity

Shao-Feng Ge[1, *]

[1] *KEK Theory Center, Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan*

(Dated: June 10, 2014)

The residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ ($\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$) and $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ ($\mathbb{Z}_2^{s}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^{s}$) symmetries can not only apply to the lepton sector, but also explain the mainly 1–2 mixing in the quark sector. With both up and down quarks subject to the same $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ symmetry, which interchanges the second and third generations, the 1–3 and 2–3 mixing angles in CKM vanish while the Cabibbo angle is dictated by two residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ symmetries. It turns out that the quark-lepton complementarity conditions are natural consequences of unifying residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ symmetries in both lepton and quark sectors.

Introduction– The idea of residual symmetry [1, 2] in the lepton sector is based on the fact that fermion mixing comes from diagonalization of the corresponding mass matrix. If there is any symmetry that dictates the mixing pattern after fermion becomes massive, it has to be residual symmetry that survives symmetry breaking. Although fundamental lagrangian can be subject to some full flavor symmetries, the mixing matrix is not necessarily determined by them as full symmetries have to be broken for chiral fermions to acquire mass terms. There are other factors, such as VEV dictated by scalar coupling constants whose magnitude is not under the control of flavor symmetry. Yukawa coupling constant, especially its magnitude, is another instance. On the other hand, full flavor symmetry has to apply on $SU(2)_L$ doublet as a whole, in other words, equally for its two component fermions. If full flavor symmetry is not broken, the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices are subject to a same symmetry, leading to a same mixing matrix. The physical mixing matrix becomes trivial in the absence of mismatch between the lepton and neutrino mixing matrices.

We can find analogies in electro-weak symmetry breaking (EWSB) for the above two arguments. First, the gauge bosons are predicted by gauge symmetry but the mixing between them is determined by the gauge coupling constants whose magnitude cannot be determined by the gauge symmetry. Instead, the gauge boson masses and the weak mixing angle are correlated by the so-called custodial symmetry [3]. Second, if gauge symmetry is not broken, no mixing between gauge bosons can be possible. In some sense, both residual and custodial symmetries serve as effective theories of the corresponding fundamental full symmetries at low energy.

Phenomenologically speaking, residual symmetry such as $\mathbb{Z}_2^{s}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^{s}$ can predict unique correlations between mixing angles and the Dirac CP phase [1, 2, 4]. Note that, only observable parameters are involved in this correlation which is also similar to the prediction of the custodial symmetry in EWSB between gauge boson masses and mixing. They can serve as a robust test of the symmetries behind them and can also predict the less precisely measured parameters with the others. Reversely, residual symmetries can be used to reconstruct the full flavor symmetry [5] in a bottom-up approach.

Since residual symmetry has been quite successful in the lepton sector [6], it can be a natural generalization to the quark sector. Unlike the PMNS matrix [7] of lepton mixing where all three families are mixed with sizable amount, the quark mixing in the CKM matrix [8] happens mainly between the first two families. Of the two residual symmetries, $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^{s}$ ($\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^{s}$), the first determines the 1–3 and 2–3 mixing angles while the second constrains the 1–2 mixing angle. If $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$ can serve as residual symmetry for both up and down quarks, we denote it as $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ hereafter for generality since it interchanges the second and third generations, same 1–3 and 2–3 mixing angles appear in the up and down quark mixing matrices. In other words, there is no mismatch between 1–3 and 2–3 quark mixings, leading to tiny mixing between the third generation and the other two in the CKM matrix [9]. This success leads us to think about the possibility of generalizing and unifying the two residual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetries in both lepton and quark sectors.

Another relevant ingredient is the so-called quark-lepton complementarity [10] that relates the quark and neutrino mixing angles at the leading order. If the residual symmetries in both sectors can be unified, the quark-lepton complementarity becomes a natural consequence. This shows the power of residual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetries.

Residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ Symmetry– The oscillation-relevant part of the neutrino mixing matrix is the so-called PMNS matrix [7]. In the standard parametrization [11], it takes the form as, $V_{\rm PMNS} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^\nu_{12}c^\nu_{13} & s^\nu_{12}c^\nu_{13} & s^\nu_{13}e^{-i\delta^\nu_D} \\ -s^\nu_{12}c^\nu_{23}-c^\nu_{12}s^\nu_{23}s^\nu_{13}e^{i\delta^\nu_D} & c^\nu_{12}c^\nu_{23}-s^\nu_{12}s^\nu_{23}s^\nu_{13}e^{i\delta^\nu_D} & s^\nu_{23}c^\nu_{13} \\ s^\nu_{12}s^\nu_{23}-c^\nu_{12}c^\nu_{23}s^\nu_{13}e^{i\delta^\nu_D} & -c^\nu_{12}s^\nu_{23}-s^\nu_{12}c^\nu_{23}s^\nu_{13}e^{i\delta^\nu_D} & c^\nu_{23}c^\nu_{13} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(c^\nu_{ij}, s^\nu_{ij}) \equiv (\cos\theta^\nu_{ij}, \sin\theta^\nu_{ij})$ and δ^ν_D is the Dirac CP phase. To avoid confusion, we have added a subscript ν to all the mixing parameters. In the following discussions, we will generalize it to both up and down quarks by replacing the subscript ν with u and d, respectively.

Note that, vanishing reactor mixing angle ($\theta^\nu_{13} = 0°$) and maximal atmospheric mixing angle ($\theta^\nu_{23} = 45°$) is a good zeroth-order approximation. In the diagonal basis of charged leptons, this is a natural consequence of the so-called μ–τ symmetry [12], denoted as $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$. The nonzero θ^ν_{13} and the deviation of θ^ν_{23} from being maximal indicates the extent of μ–τ symmetry breaking.

The μ and τ flavors interchange under the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$ representation,

$$G(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}) \equiv G_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

If the neutrino mass matrix is invariant under G_{23}, the number of independent matrix elements can be reduced and the mixing parameters are constrained. There is a direct relation between horizontal symmetry transformation representation G_i and the mixing matrix V_ν [13],

$$G_i = V_\nu d_i V_\nu^\dagger, \tag{2}$$

where d_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ is a diagonal matrix,

$$d_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

and $d_3 \equiv -d_1 d_2$. The relation (2) is essentially the reconstruction formula of G_i and we call d_i the reconstruction *kernel* [1]. When viewed in the reversed way, the mixing matrix can diagonalize not only the mass matrix but also the horizontal symmetry transformation representation. For $G_{23} = G_3(\theta_{13} = 0, \theta_{23} = 45°)$, it is enough to have a maximal 2–3 mixing to diagonalize it into $d_3 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$. Further, there is degeneracy between the first two eigenvalues in d_3, indicating a free 1–2 mixing. On the other hand, 1–3 mixing is not allowed. To be exact, the mixing matrix reads [1],

$$V_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} c^\nu_{12}e^{i\alpha^\nu_1} & s^\nu_{12}e^{i\alpha^\nu_1} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}s^\nu_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^\nu_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s^\nu_{12} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}c^\nu_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_\nu, \tag{4}$$

where $Q_\nu \equiv \text{diag}(e^{i\phi^\nu_1}, e^{i\phi^\nu_2}, e^{i\phi^\nu_3})$ is a diagonal rephasing matrix containing three Majorana (unphysical) CP phases for Majorana (Dirac) fermions. Note that there is a counterpart of $\mathcal{Q}_\nu$, denoted as $\mathcal{P}_\nu \equiv \text{diag}(e^{i\alpha^\nu_1}, e^{i\alpha^\nu_2}, e^{i\alpha^\nu_3})$ which is a purely unphysical rephasing matrix, to the left of $V_{\rm PMNS}$. These unphysical phases are constrained to be $e^{i\alpha^\nu_2} = e^{i\alpha^\nu_3}$ and can be rotated away, leaving only a free $e^{i\alpha^\nu_1}$ behind. Note that the notation in this paper is different from the one adopted in [1, 2] by a minus sign associated with all the mixing angles and the Dirac CP phase.

The horizontal symmetry with real *kernel* applies to not only Majorana but also Dirac fermions [1]. This makes it possible to generalize the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry in the lepton sector to $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ which is applicable in both lepton and quark sectors. With both up and down quarks subject to the same residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$, their mixing matrices V_u and V_d are constrained as (4),

$$V_u = \begin{pmatrix} c^u_{12}e^{i\alpha^u_1} & s^u_{12}e^{i\alpha^u_1} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}s^u_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^u_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s^u_{12} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}c^u_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_u, \tag{5a}$$

$$V_d = \begin{pmatrix} c^d_{12}e^{i\alpha^d_1} & s^d_{12}e^{i\alpha^d_1} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}s^d_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^d_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s^d_{12} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}c^d_{12} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_d. \tag{5b}$$

Combining V_u and V_d, the CKM matrix $V_{\rm CKM} \equiv V_u^\dagger V_d$ reads,

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_u c_d + s_u s_d e^{i\delta_\alpha} & c_u s_d - s_u c_d e^{i\delta_\alpha} & 0 \\ s_u c_d - c_u s_d e^{i\delta_\alpha} & s_u s_d + c_u c_d e^{i\delta_\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $(c_q, s_q) \equiv (\cos\theta^q_{12}, \sin\theta^q_{12})$ with q being u or d for up and down quarks, respectively. Note that the rephasing matrices $\mathcal{Q}_u$ and $\mathcal{Q}_d$, which do not have any physical parameters, have been removed for simplicity. As there is no intrinsic CP phase in two dimensional rotation, the phase $\delta_\alpha \equiv \alpha^u_1 - \alpha^d_1$ can be rotated out, reducing (6) into a pure 1–2 rotation with Cabibbo angle,

$$\cos^2\theta_C = s_d^2 s_u^2 + 2c_d s_d c_u s_u \cos\delta_\alpha + c_d^2 c_u^2. \tag{7}$$

We can see that $\theta^q_{13} = \theta^q_{23} = 0°$ in the CKM matrix is a direct consequence of applying the residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ symmetry to both up and down quarks simultaneously. With vanishing δ_α, which is a reasonable situation for zeroth-order mass matrix being real, (7) reduces to a much simpler form,

$$\theta_C = \theta^d_{12} - \theta^u_{12}. \tag{8}$$

The tiny mixing between the third family and the other two can be treated as small perturbations.

Note that, the two complementarity conditions [10],

$$\theta^{\nu}_{13} = \theta^{q}_{13} = 0^\circ\,, \qquad \theta^{\nu}_{23} + \theta^{q}_{23} = 45^\circ\,, \tag{9}$$

have already been obtained with a simple $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ symmetry. It applies to the neutrino and quark sectors while the charged lepton sector is in the diagonal basis with the help of two extra residual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetries represented by d_1 and d_2 in (3). In total, we need a unified group generated by G_{23}, d_1, and d_2. Since d_1 can commute with G_{23} as well as d_2 and the fact that the order of all three is 2, namely $G_{23}^2 = d_1^2 = d_2^2 = I$, any group element can be expressed as a product $(G_{23}d_2)^i G_{23}^j d_1^k$ where $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $j, k = 0, 1$. The unified group is finite.

Residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ Symmetry– Based on $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$, the remaining 1–2 mixing angle can be determined by residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ symmetry [1] whose generator is G_1. Since the 1–3 and 2–3 mixing angles have been constrained to be 0° and 45°, respectively, for neutrino and quarks, G_1 has only one free parameter, the 1–2 mixing angle,

$$G_{12}^f \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\cos 2\theta_{12}^f & \frac{+1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\theta_{12}^f & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\theta_{12}^f \\ \frac{+1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\theta_{12}^f & \cos^2\theta_{12}^f & \sin^2\theta_{12}^f \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\theta_{12}^f & \sin^2\theta_{12}^f & \cos^2\theta_{12}^f \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{10}$$

where $f = \nu, u, d$. By choosing G_{12}^f properly, we can determine the three 1–2 mixing angles, θ_{12}^ν, θ_{12}^u, and θ_{12}^d. We will show whether they can form a finite group.

Let us first concentrate on the quark sector. There are three independent generators, G_{23}, G_{12}^u, and G_{12}^d. Since G_{23} commutes with G_{12}^f, and the order of them is 2, any group element can be expressed as

$$(G_{12}^d)^{i'}(G_{12}^u G_{12}^d)^i (G_{12}^u)^{i''}(G_{23}^q)^k, \tag{11}$$

where i is a non-negative integer while i', i'', and k can be either 0 or 1. Whether the group is finite or not depends on whether the combination $(G_{12}^u G_{12}^d)$ has a finite order or not. It can be explicitly shown that,

$$(G_{12}^{f_1} G_{12}^{f_2}) = G_{12}^{f_1 - f_2} d_1\,, \tag{12}$$

where $G_{12}^{f_1-f_2}$ is an abbreviation for the functional form (10) with θ_{12}^f replaced by $\theta_{12}^{f_1} - \theta_{12}^{f_2}$. In addition, we can see that,

$$d_1 G_{12}^f = G_{12}^{-f} d_1\,, \tag{13}$$

which leads to $(G_{12}^u G_{12}^d)^2 = G_{12}^{2(u-d)} d_1$. Here, G_{12}^{-f} stands for the functional form (10) with $-\theta_{12}^f$ in the place of θ_{12}^f. When (12) and (13) are applied repeatedly, (11) turns into,

$$(G_{12}^d)^{i'} G_{12}^{i(u-d)} d_1^n (G_{12}^u)^{i''}(G_{23}^q)^k\,, \tag{14}$$

where $n = 0, 1$. We can see that whether the group is finite or not depends on whether the Cabibbo angle is a rational proportion of 2π or not. Turning the way around, if the residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ symmetries are the real cause behind the zeroth-order CKM matrix, the Cabibbo angle θ_C is predicted to be a rational proportion of 2π.

Unify Residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ Symmetries– It has been proved above that the first two quark-lepton complementarity conditions (9) come from the residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23}$ symmetry. To account for the remaining one,

$$\theta_{12}^\nu + \theta_C = \theta_{12}^\nu + \theta_{12}^d - \theta_{12}^u = 45^\circ\,, \tag{15}$$

it is necessary to involve $\mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$. The situation can be slightly complicated since we have three extra G_{12}^f generators with parameters satisfying (15), namely G_{12}^ν, G_{12}^u, and G_{12}^d, in addition to G_{23}.

Since G_{23} can commute with all others, any group element can be expressed as,

$$\{G_{12}^\nu, G_{12}^u, G_{12}^d\} G_{23}^m\,, \tag{16}$$

where $m = 0, 1$ and $\{\cdots\}$ stands for an arbitrary product of the generators inside it. Then we can use (12) and (13) repeatedly to reduce the first factor in (16) which becomes,

$$G_{12}^{i\times\nu + j\times u + k\times d} G_{23}^m d_1^n\,, \tag{17}$$

where i, j, and k are integers while $n = 0, 1$. For the unified group to be finite, the first factor in (17) has to be cut off somewhere. This means that the three 1–2 mixing angles, θ_{12}^ν, θ_{12}^u, and θ_{12}^d, have to be rational proportions of 2π and correlated according to (15). This is possible and can find many realizations. As long as the orders of G_{12}^ν, G_{12}^u, and G_{12}^d are large enough, we can predict 1–2 mixing angles to fit the existing data.

It is possible to make further simplication. From (8) we can see that the physical Cabibbo angle is the difference between the up and down quark mixing angles. In other words, physics is independent of basis [14]. We can choose a basis in which $\theta_{12}^d = 0$ and $\theta_{12}^\nu = 45^\circ + \theta_{12}^u$, reducing G_{12}^d to d_1 and the combination (16) becomes,

$$\{G_{12}(45^\circ + \theta_{12}^u), d_1, G_{12}(\theta_{12}^u)\} G_{23}^m\,. \tag{18}$$

By using (13) to remove d_1, the combination can be replaced by,

$$\{G_{12}(\pm[45^\circ + \theta^u_{12}]), G_{12}(\pm\theta^u_{12})\} G^m_{23} d^n_1 \,, \qquad (19)$$

where $n = 0, 1$. Although there are four different G^f_{12} elements, only one degree of freedom, namely θ^u_{12}, is involved. Implementing (12) and (13) repeatedly, the first factor in (19) can be replaced with a single G^f_{12} element,

$$G_{12}(i \times 45^\circ) G_{12}(j \times \theta^u_{12}) G^m_{23} d^n_1 \,, \qquad (20)$$

where i and j are integers. As long as θ^u_{12} is a rational ratio of 2π, the unified group is finite.

In common practice, we should also include d_1 and d_2, which are needed to constrain the charged leptons within a diagonal basis, to reconstruct the full flavor symmetry. Nevertheless, we find it difficult to prove that the generated group is finite or not, although incorporating d_1 only is straightforward. So we decide to leave it as it is. After all, the residual symmetry in the charged lepton sector has many degrees of freedom. Further, the full symmetry needs not to be finite, not even discrete. The bottom-up approach can reconstruct the minimal part but may not the full symmetry. As long as the residual symmetry can dictate the mixing patterns, the role of flavor symmetry is fulfilled perfectly. The case of $d_1 \times d_2$ we have been using in this paper is just a handy example to illustrate our main idea of generalizing and unifying the residual symmetries in both the lepton and quark sectors with the benefit of explaining the quark-lepton complementarity. Like we have pointed out the importance of residual symmetries in our earlier works [1, 2], without reconstructing the full flavor symmetry, we hope the current work can provide a new direction to move forward.

Acknowledgements– This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific research (No. 25400287) from JSPS.

* gesf02@gmail.com

[1] S. F. Ge, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, "$\mathbb{Z}_2$ *Symmetry Prediction for the Leptonic Dirac* CP *Phase*"", Phys. Lett. B **702**, 220 (2011) [arXiv:1104.0602v1 (full version) [hep-ph]].

[2] S. F. Ge, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, "*Residual Symmetries for Neutrino Mixing with a Large* θ_{13} *and Nearly Maximal* δ_D," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 041801 (2012) [arXiv:1108.0964 [hep-ph]].

[3] P. Sikivie, L. Susskind, M. B. Voloshin and V. I. Zakharov, "*Isospin Breaking in Technicolor Models*," Nucl. Phys. B **173**, 189 (1980).

[4] A. D. Hanlon, S. -F. Ge and W. W. Repko, "*Phenomenological consequences of residual* $\mathbb{Z}^s_2$ *and* $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^s_2$ *symmetries*," Phys. Lett. B **729**, 185 (2014) [arXiv:1308.6522 [hep-ph]].

[5] D. Hernandez and A. Y. Smirnov, "*Lepton mixing and discrete symmetries*," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 053014 (2012) [arXiv:1204.0445 [hep-ph]]; "*Discrete symmetries and model-independent patterns of lepton mixing*," Phys. Rev. D **87**, NO. 5, 053005 (2013) [arXiv:1212.2149 [hep-ph]].

[6] A. Y. Smirnov, "*Neutrino 2012: Outlook - theory*," Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **235-236**, 431 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4061 [hep-ph]]; S. F. King and C. Luhn, "*Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry*," Rept. Prog. Phys. **76**, 056201 (2013) [arXiv:1301.1340 [hep-ph]]; A. Y. Smirnov, "*Neutrino mass, mixing and discrete symmetries*," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **447**, 012004 (2013) [arXiv:1305.4827 [hep-ph]]; R. M. Fonseca and W. Grimus, "*Classification of lepton mixing matrices from residual symmetries*," [arXiv:1405.3678 [hep-ph]].

[7] B. Pontecorvo, "*Mesonium and antimesonium*," Sov. Phys. JETP **6**, 429 (1957) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 549 (1957)]; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, "*Remarks on the unified model of elementary particles*," Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**, 870 (1962).

[8] N. Cabibbo, "*Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays*" Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963); M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, "CP *Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction*" Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).

[9] Y. Koide, H. Nishiura, K. Matsuda, T. Kikuchi and T. Fukuyama, "*Universal texture of quark and lepton mass matrices and a discrete symmetry* $\mathbb{Z}_3$" Phys. Rev. D **66**, 093006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0209333]; A. S. Joshipura, "*Universal* 2–3 *symmetry*" Eur. Phys. J. C **53**, 77 (2008) [arXiv:hep-ph/0512252].

[10] A. Y. Smirnov, "*Neutrinos: '...Annus mirabilis'* " [arXiv:hep-ph/0402264]; M. Raidal, "*Relation between the neutrino and quark mixing angles and grand unification*," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 161801 (2004) [hep-ph/0404046]; H. Minakata and A. Y. Smirnov, "*Neutrino mixing and quark-lepton complementarity*" Phys. Rev. D **70**, 073009 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405088].

[11] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).

[12] R. N. Mohapatra and S. Nussinov, "*Bimaximal neutrino mixing and neutrino mass matrix*," Phys. Rev. D **60**, 013002 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9809415]; C. S. Lam, "*A 2-3 symmetry in neutrino oscillations*," Phys. Lett. B **507**, 214 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0104116].

[13] C. S. Lam, "*Determining Horizontal Symmetry from Neutrino Mixing*" Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 121602 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2622 [hep-ph]]; "*The Unique Horizontal Symmetry of Leptons*" Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073015 (2008) [arXiv:0809.1185 [hep-ph]].

[14] See the Appendix of D. A. Dicus, S. F. Ge and W. W. Repko, "*Neutrino mixing with broken* S_3 *symmetry*" Phys. Rev. D **82**, 033005 (2010) [arXiv:1004.3266 [hep-ph]].